

18.783 Elliptic Curves

Lecture 25

Andrew Sutherland

December 11, 2025

Fermat's last theorem

Conjecture (Fermat 1637)

The equation $x^n + y^n = z^n$ has no integer solutions with $xyz \neq 0$ and $n > 2$.

Suppose (a, b, c, n) is a counterexample to the conjecture.

If $d = \gcd(a, b, c) > 1$ then $(a/d, b/d, c/d, n)$ is also a counterexample.

We thus assume $\gcd(a, b, c) = 1$, which forces a, b, c to be pairwise coprime.

If n is divisible by $2 < m < n$ then $(a^{n/m}, b^{n/m}, c^{n/m}, m)$ is also a counterexample. It thus suffices to consider the case $n = 4$ and the case where n is an odd prime.

Fermat treated $n = 4$, so we assume n is an odd prime and replace z with $-z$ to obtain

$$x^n + y^n + z^n = 0,$$

which we wish to show has no solutions with $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ pairwise coprime.

Chronology of progress

- 1637 Fermat makes his conjecture and proves it for $n = 4$.
- 1753 Euler proves FLT for $n = 3$ (his proof has a fixable error).
- 1800s Sophie Germain proves FLT for $n \nmid xyz$ for all $n < 100$.
- 1825 Dirichlet and Legendre complete the proof for $n = 5$.
- 1839 Lamé addresses $n = 7$.
- 1847 Kummer proves FLT for all primes $n \nmid h(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n))$, called **regular primes**. This leaves 37, 59, and 67 as the only open cases for $n < 100$.
- 1857 Kummer addresses 37, 59, and 67, but his proof has gaps.
- 1926 Vandiver fills the gaps and addresses all irregular primes $n < 157$.
- 1937 Vandiver and assistants handle all irregular primes $n < 607$.
- 1954 Lehmer, Lehmer, and Vandiver introduce techniques better suited to mechanical computation and use a computer to address all $n < 2521$.
- 1954-1993 Computers verify FLT for all $n < 4,000,000$.

This work is all based on results in algebraic number theory and has no direct connection to elliptic curves.

The Frey-Hellegouarch curve

In his 1972 PhD thesis Hellegouarch considers the elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q}

$$E_{a,b,c} : y^2 = x(x - a^p)(x + b^p)$$

associated to a solution to the Fermat equation

$$a^p + b^p + c^p = 0$$

for some prime $p > 3$. Proving FLT amounts to showing that no such $E_{a,b,c}$ exists.

In 1984 Frey suggested that any such $E_{a,b,c}$ could not be modular.

Serre gave a more precise formulation of Frey's suggestion known as the [epsilon conjecture](#) that involves modular forms and their associated Galois representations.

Serre's epsilon conjecture was proved by Ribet in the late 1980's, meaning that the modularity of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} (even just in the semistable case) would imply FLT.

Why the Frey-Hellegouarch curve should not exist

The discriminant of $E_{a,b,c}$ is

$$\Delta(E_{a,b,c}) = -16(0 - a^p)^2(0 + b^p)^2(a^p + b^p)^2 = -16(abc)^{2p},$$

which is very close to its minimal discriminant

$$\Delta_{\min}(E_{a,b,c}) = 2^{-8}(abc)^{2p}.$$

The elliptic curve $E_{a,b,c}$ has good reduction at all primes $\ell \nmid abc$ and multiplicative reduction at $\ell \mid abc$. It follows that $E_{a,b,c}$ is semistable with conductor

$$N_{E_{a,b,c}} = \prod_{\ell \mid abc} \ell,$$

which is dramatically smaller than $\Delta_{\min}(E_{a,b,c})$ (recall that $p > 4,000,000$), and would appear to be incompatible with [Szpiro's conjecture](#) $\Delta_{\min}(E) \leq c_{\epsilon} N_E^{6+\epsilon}$.

Galois representations

Definition

Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve and let ℓ be a prime. The **mod- ℓ Galois representation**

$$\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E[\ell]) \simeq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$$

is defined by $\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}(\sigma) := \left((x : y : z) \mapsto (\sigma(x) : \sigma(y) : \sigma(z)) \right) \in \text{Aut}(E[\ell])$.

We similarly define for each prime power ℓ^n

$$\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell^n}: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E[\ell^n]) \simeq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}).$$

The **ℓ -adic Galois representation** is the continuous homomorphism

$$\rho_{E,\ell}: \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T_\ell(E)) \simeq \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell),$$

Here $T_\ell(E) := \varprojlim_n E[\ell^n]$ is the **ℓ -adic Tate module** and $\mathbb{Z}_\ell := \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}/\ell^n\mathbb{Z}$ is the **ring of ℓ -adic integers**.

Frobenius elements

The value of $\bar{\rho}_{E, \ell^n}(\sigma)$ depends only on the restriction of σ to the ℓ^n -torsion field $K := \mathbb{Q}(E[\ell^n])$, which we note is a Galois extension of \mathbb{Q} .

Let S be a finite set of primes that includes ℓ and the primes of bad reduction for E .

For each prime $p \notin S$ we may fix a prime $\mathfrak{p} | p$ of K above p and consider the **Frobenius element** $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$, which is the inverse image of the p -power Frobenius automorphism of the residue field $\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}} := \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}$ under the canonical isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) : \sigma(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}\} &=: D_{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathbb{F}_p) = \langle x \mapsto x^p \rangle \\ &\sigma \mapsto \left(\bar{x} \mapsto \overline{\sigma(x)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The Frobenius elements $\sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for $\mathfrak{p} | p$ form a conjugacy class σ_p of $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$.

Frobenius elements

For each prime $p \notin S$ we have

$$\mathrm{tr} \bar{\rho}_{E, \ell^n}(\sigma_p) \equiv a_p \pmod{\ell^n} \quad \text{and} \quad \det \rho_{E, \ell^n}(\sigma_p) \equiv p \pmod{\ell^n},$$

which uniquely determines the trace of Frobenius $a_p \in \mathbb{Z}$ once we have $\ell^n > 4\sqrt{p}$.

The ℓ -adic Galois representation $\rho_{E, \ell}$ determines the Dirichlet coefficients a_p of the L -function $L(E, s)$ for all but the finitely many primes $p \in S$. By the Faltings-Tate theorem, this uniquely determines the isogeny class of E .

Thus for every prime $\ell \neq p$ the ℓ -adic Galois representation of E/\mathbb{Q} uniquely determines its isogeny class and therefore its L -function $L(E, s)$.

This includes the values of a_p at $p \in S$, even though we excluded them.

Modular Galois representations

We call any continuous homomorphism $\rho: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ an ℓ -adic Galois representation, whether it is associated to an elliptic curve or not, and similarly define mod- ℓ Galois representations $\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$.

Definition

An ℓ -adic Galois representation ρ is modular (of weight k and level N) if there is a modular form $f_\rho = \sum a_n q^n \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$ with $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\text{tr } \rho(\sigma_p) = a_p$$

for all primes $p \nmid \ell N$, and we similarly call $\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ modular if

$$\text{tr } \bar{\rho}(\sigma_p) \equiv a_p \pmod{\ell}$$

for all primes $p \nmid \ell N$.

Serre's modularity conjecture

Definition

Let $c \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ be the automorphism corresponding to complex conjugation. A mod- ℓ Galois representation $\bar{\rho}$ is **odd** if $\det \rho(c) = -1$, and **irreducible** if its image does not fix any one-dimensional subspace of $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})^2$, equivalently, its image is not conjugate to a group of upper triangular matrices.

For any elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} the mod- ℓ Galois representation $\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}$ is necessarily odd, and irreducible for $\ell \neq 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 37, 43, 67, 163$, by Mazur's isogeny theorem.

Conjecture (Serre)

Every odd irreducible Galois representation $\bar{\rho}: \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$ is modular.

Serre's ϵ -conjecture and Ribet's level lowering theorem

Serre gave a more precise formulation of his conjecture that associates an **optimal weight** and **optimal level** to each odd irreducible mod- ℓ Galois representation. For mod- ℓ Galois representations $\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}$ the optimal weight is 2 (provided we pick $\ell \nmid N_E$).

For the Frey-Hellougarth curve $E_{a,b,c}$ the optimal level is 2.

But there are no nonzero modular forms of weight 2 and level 2, because

$$\dim S_2(\Gamma_1(2)) = \dim S_2(\Gamma_0(2)) = g(X_0(2)) = 0.$$

Theorem (Ribet)

Let ℓ be prime, let E be an elliptic curve of conductor $N = mN'$, where m is the product of all primes $p|N$ such that $v_p(N) = 1$ and $v_p(\Delta_{\min}(E)) \equiv 0 \pmod{\ell}$. If E is modular and $\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}$ is irreducible, then $\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}$ is modular of weight 2 and level N' .

Corollary

The elliptic curve $E_{a,b,c}$ is not modular.

The modularity lifting theorem of Taylor and Wiles

Given a representation $\rho_0: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$, a representation $\rho_1: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_{\ell})$ whose reduction modulo ℓ is equal to ρ_0 is called a **lift** of ρ_0 . More generally, if R is a suitable ring with a reduction map to $\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$, and $\rho_1: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(R)$ has reduction ρ_0 , then we say that ρ_1 is a lift of ρ_0 (to R). A **deformation** of ρ_0 is an equivalence class of lifts of ρ_0 to the ring R , which is sometimes called the **deformation ring**.

Building on work by Mazur, Hida, and others that established the existence of certain **universal deformations** $\rho_{\mathbb{T}}: G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T})$, where \mathbb{T} is a certain Hecke algebra, Taylor and Wiles were able to show that if ρ_0 is modular, then every lift of ρ_0 satisfying a specified list of properties is modular (this is an example of an “ $R = \mathbb{T}$ ” theorem).

Theorem (Taylor-Wiles)

Let E/\mathbb{Q} be a semistable elliptic curve. If $\bar{\rho}_{E,\ell}$ is modular, then $\rho_{E,\ell}$ is also modular (and therefore E is modular).

The proof of Fermat's last theorem

Theorem (Langlands-Tunnell)

Let E be an elliptic curve over \mathbb{Q} . If $\bar{\rho}_{E,3}$ is irreducible, then it is modular.

Theorem (Wiles)

Let E/\mathbb{Q} be a semistable elliptic curve for which $\bar{\rho}_{E,5}$ is irreducible. There exists a semistable elliptic curve E'/\mathbb{Q} such that $\bar{\rho}_{E',3}$ is irreducible and $\bar{\rho}_{E',5} \simeq \bar{\rho}_{E,5}$.

Lemma

No semistable elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} admits a rational 15-isogeny.

Theorem (Wiles)

Let E/\mathbb{Q} be a semistable elliptic curve. Then E is modular.

Proof: To the board!

MIT OpenCourseWare

<https://ocw.mit.edu>

18.783 / 18.7831 Elliptic Curves

Fall 2025

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <https://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.